

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: DEADLINE 6 Submission/ PINS ref: EA1N - EN010077 and EA2-EN010078 / My Ref ID Nos EA1N200 and EA200
Date: 24 February 2021 06:33:46

Dear Examining Authority

I am responding to Action Point 2, The Planning Balance from Open Floor Hearing 6

I am supportive of renewable energy and have no objections to the offshore elements of these DCO applications with the proviso all installations are acceptable to stakeholder concerns over the statutory purposes of the AONB affected by these proposals.

I call upon the Examining Authorities to recommend to the Secretary of State to **make a 'split decision'** so that-

1.The Offshore turbines are recommended for consent

2.The Onshore infrastructure is rejected in favour of full consideration of better locations for this infrastructure where the adverse impacts are minimised at a brownfield site eg Bradwell as suggested by Dr Therese Coffey

I am an Interested Party and have followed the Hearings and consider the **cumulative adverse impacts** of this particular onshore site location (damage to Thorpeness cliffs, the trench, the Friston sub-station, extra traffic, damage to tourism etc) substantially outweigh the benefits of the application when taken as a whole. Indeed, the effect on the local community would be devastating and it is essential that an alternative brownfield site is identified.

The onshore proposals involving radial connections would be acutely detrimental to the environment. With 9 years to go until the Government's 2030 offshore wind targets are to be reached, there is ample time for ScottishPowerRenewables, national grid and the Department for Business Energy and Industrial Strategy to get this Application right without jeopardising these important targets.

With a 'split decision' the offshore construction can proceed whilst a more satisfactory solution to the onshore element is developed.

Kind Regards

Colin

Colin Chamberlain
[REDACTED]